Loss to programme between HIV diagnosis and initiation of antiretroviral therapy in sub-Saharan Africa: systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

OBJECTIVES To assess the proportion of patients lost to programme (died, lost to follow-up, transferred out) between HIV diagnosis and start of antiretroviral therapy (ART) in sub-Saharan Africa, and determine factors associated with loss to programme.

METHODS Systematic review and meta-analysis. We searched PubMed and EMBASE databases for studies in adults. Outcomes were the percentage of patients dying before starting ART, the percentage lost to follow-up, the percentage with a CD4 cell count, the distribution of first CD4 counts and the percentage of eligible patients starting ART. Data were combined using random-effects meta-analysis.

RESULTS Twenty-nine studies from sub-Saharan Africa including 148,912 patients were analysed. Six studies covered the whole period from HIV diagnosis to ART start. Meta-analysis of these studies showed that of the 100 patients with a positive HIV test, 72 (95% CI 60–84) had a CD4 cell count measured, 40 (95% CI 26–55) were eligible for ART and 25 (95% CI 13–37) started ART. There was substantial heterogeneity between studies ($P < 0.0001$). Median CD4 cell count at presentation ranged from 154 to 274 cells/µl. Patients eligible for ART were less likely to become lost to programme (25% vs. 54%, $P < 0.0001$), but eligible patients were more likely to die (11% vs. 5%, $P < 0.0001$) than ineligible patients. Loss to programme was higher in men, in patients with low CD4 cell counts and low socio-economic status and in recent time periods.

CONCLUSIONS Monitoring and care in the pre-ART time period need improvement, with greater emphasis on patients not yet eligible for ART.
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Introduction

Attrition of HIV infected patients in care before starting antiretroviral therapy (ART) is high in low-income settings (Amuron et al. 2009; Bassett et al. 2009). However, much research has focused on clinical outcomes of ART, while few studies have analysed loss to programme (i.e. because of mortality, loss to follow-up or transfer out to another site) between HIV testing and ART initiation. Clinical documentation is often poor or non-existent during this time period. Healthcare systems are overloaded, testing might take place at a different site than the provision of ART and patients ineligible for ART are less sick and do not require monitoring of ART-related side effects. A recent systematic review showed substantial variation in loss to programme across sites, but did not distinguish between loss to follow-up, mortality and transfer out (Rosen & Fox 2011). Only two studies covered the whole time period from diagnosis of HIV infection to ART initiation (Kranzer et al. 2010; Tayler-Smith et al. 2010), and predictors of loss to programme were not explored.

Despite the fact that ART coverage in sub-Saharan Africa has increased substantially in recent years and had reached 6.6 million people by the end of 2010, approximately 9 million people remain untreated (World Health Organisation 2011). The majority of the patients who start ART do so too late with low CD4 cell counts and opportunistic infections (Fairall et al. 2008; Keiser et al. 2008; Kigozi et al. 2009). As a result, mortality in the first
few months after therapy start is also high (Braitstein et al. 2006; May et al. 2010).

If the reasons for patient attrition between diagnosis and beginning of ART were known, programmes could plan more efficiently and better allocate their resources. ART coverage would be increased, and early mortality on ART would be reduced. Our goal was to ascertain why patients are lost to programme before they begin ART. We therefore performed a systematic review to determine the magnitude and predictors of mortality, loss to follow-up and transfer out between HIV diagnosis and start of ART in sub-Saharan Africa.

Methods

Data sources

We searched PubMed and EMBASE databases on August 9, 2011, limiting the search to studies in humans, studies from sub-Saharan Africa and to English-language publications. We also limited the search to studies that were published after 2001, because ART scale up in resource-limited settings began in 2002 (Gilks et al. 2006; Keiser et al. 2008). We used both free text words and medical subject headings (MeSH) and a combination of the following words and their variations: antiretroviral agents, therapeutic use, pre-treatment, pre-ART, prior to treatment, eligibility, loss to care and loss to follow-up. We examined the references of all included studies. Further details of the search strategy are given in the Appendix S1.

Study selection

We included all studies that reported on numbers of participants followed between HIV diagnosis and start of ART, including studies that did not cover the entire period. We excluded studies on children and on the prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT). We also excluded qualitative studies and reports from national programmes. Studies that reported on specific topics that were not the primary area of interest (i.e. modelling studies without primary data, studies on drug resistance, adherence or drug interactions, cancer-specific studies or studies on pre-ART HIV transmission) were also excluded. We used no other selection criteria. Two reviewers (CM, OK) independently assessed the eligibility of articles and abstracts. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus.

Data extraction

To minimise transcription errors, we used a double-entry system to enter data from each publication into a standardised extraction sheet. The following data were extracted: eligibility criteria of participants, the characteristics of the programme (setting, location, country), characteristics of participants (age, gender and CD4 cell count at different time points), eligibility criteria for ART start, methods for tracing patients lost to follow-up and the number of patients alive and lost to programme (i.e. lost to follow-up, transferred out and dead) at different time points. We selected four time points: (i) HIV testing, (ii) CD4 testing with eligibility assessment for ART, (iii) becoming eligible for ART, and (iv) start of ART. These defined three time periods: stage 1 (HIV testing to CD4 testing), stage 2 (CD4 testing to ART eligibility) and stage 3 (ART eligibility to ART start). We extracted loss to programme, mortality, loss to follow-up and transfer out at each stage before the initiation of ART. In addition, we extracted the length of time between these time points and mortality rates in the different stages. Further we also extracted predictors for loss to programme, mortality and loss to follow-up between HIV and CD4 testing and between meeting eligibility criteria for ART and ART start. We recorded whether there was a significant ($P < 0.05$) positive or negative association or whether there was no significant association. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus. Data were entered into an EpiData database (version 3.1.).

Statistical analysis

We calculated the percentage of people who reached each of the four time points and combined data from relevant studies using random-effects meta-analysis on the logit scale. Combined estimates were transformed back to percentages. Because results were heterogeneous, we both calculated approximate prediction intervals (PrI) based on the whole random-effects distribution and traditional 95% confidence intervals (CI) around the mean of the distribution. PrI predict the likely mean percentages in new studies and are the most sensible way to summarise the results of heterogeneous studies (Higgins et al. 2003). We examined sources of heterogeneity using meta-regression for treatment-eligible patients starting ART (stage 3; the only stage for which enough estimates were available). We considered the following study characteristics: country (South Africa vs. others); degree of urbanisation (rural, urban, semi-urban); mode of entry into programme (voluntary counselling and testing, provider-initiated counselling and testing, entry through tuberculosis (TB) or sexually transmitted disease clinic); programme costs (free vs. fee for service); ART eligibility criteria (CD4 cell count $\leq 200$ vs. $> 200$ cells/$\mu l$); age (median age at baseline); gender (percentage female at baseline); and study period (1 January 2001 – 31 December 2003, 1 January
that provided information on the period spanning HIV
infection to start of ART. Of 100 patients who tested positive for HIV, 72 (95% CI 60–84) patients had a CD4 cell count measured, and 40 (95% CI 26–55) were eligible for ART and 25 (95% CI 13–37) started ART.

Stage 1: From HIV diagnosis to CD4 testing.
CD4 cell count was measured in 77.6% (95% CI 71.0–84.2) of patients, with substantial between-study heterogeneity ($I^2 = 99.9\%$, $P$-value <0.0001). The median time from HIV testing to the first CD4 cell count was 56 days in Losina et al. 2010 and 60 days in Micek et al. 2009. Loubiere et al. 2009 reported that 56.8% of patients had their CD4 cell count measured within 30 days of the HIV test, and Larson et al. (2010a) reported that CD4 cell counts were measured on the same day that the HIV test was administered. Kranzer et al. 2010 was the only study assessing the different modes of entry into care during this stage: the median time was between 2 and 3 days, regardless of whether the patients entered via voluntary counselling and testing, via antenatal care or from a TB or sexually transmitted infections (STI) clinic.

Stage 2: Assessment of eligibility for ART.
The median CD4 cell count at presentation to the clinic ranged from 154 (IQR 57–302) to 274 (IQR 139–435) cells/µl in the six studies where this information was provided (Table 1). The percentage of patients with a CD4 cell count who were eligible for ART was 56.5% (95% CI 49.3–63.6), again with substantial between-study heterogeneity ($I^2 = 99.5\%$, $P$-value <0.0001).

Stage 3: From eligibility to start of ART.
Eighteen studies reported the percentage of patients who started ART after becoming eligible: the overall estimate was 62.9% (95% CI 55.2–70.7); $I^2 = 99.7\%$, heterogeneity $P$-value <0.0001. In meta-regression, the estimated percentage of patients starting ART increased from 44% to 81% as the percentage of women increased from 50% to 75%, and no other factors were associated with the percentage of patients starting ART. Of note, two programmes in which very few eligible patients started ART (Zachariah et al. 2006; Murphy et al. 2010) included only patients who had entered the HIV programme from a TB clinic. Five of the 25 studies reported on the median duration from becoming eligible to starting ART. The median duration was 22 days (McGrath et al. 2010), 34 days (Lawn et al. 2006), 83 days (Murphy et al. 2010), 95 days (Ingle et al. 2010) and 108 days (Bassett et al. 2009). Figure S2 shows the forest plots from the meta-analyses of all three stages.

**Results**

**Study characteristics**
We identified 81 potentially eligible full-text articles of 2122 identified studies, based on titles and abstracts. After screening the full-text articles, we included 29 studies of 148 912 treatment-naïve patients. Twenty-five studies were included in the meta-analysis. Only a few studies reported on eligibility. The definition of eligibility criteria for ART differed between studies. A CD4 threshold of 200 cells/µl was most commonly used ($n = 18$). Other CD4 thresholds were 250 ($n = 4$) and 300 cells/µl ($n = 1$). Two studies did not report on eligibility. The definition of loss to follow-up (LTFU) also varied: ‘missing appointments for more than 1 month’ or ‘no visit at the clinic for 6 or 12 months’ was used in several studies (Table 1). Phone calls, home visits or linkage to the death registry was used to ascertain deaths among patients lost to follow-up.

Figure 1 shows the number of studies that reported on different time periods between HIV diagnosis and ART start. Six studies, conducted in four different countries covered the whole time period (Micek et al. 2009; Bassett et al. 2010; Ingle et al. 2010; Kranzer et al. 2010; Tayler-Smith et al. 2010; Kohler et al. 2011) from HIV testing to start of ART. Most studies provided information for the time between ART eligibility and ART start (21 studies, 18 included in meta-analysis). Only a few studies reported on outcomes of patients without CD4 cell counts and on outcomes of patients not yet eligible for ART.

**Meta-analyses**

**Six studies covering period from HIV diagnosis to ART start (stages 1–3).**

Figure 2 summarises the meta-analyses of the six studies that provided information on the period spanning HIV diagnosis to ART start (stages 1–3).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Study Period</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>No patients ART naïve</th>
<th>Eligibility criteria: CD4 cell count/WHO stage</th>
<th>Eligibility status of subjects</th>
<th>Definition of loss to follow-up</th>
<th>First CD4 cell count; median (IQR)</th>
<th>Pre-ART mortality rate (per 100 person-years)</th>
<th>Tracing of patients lost to follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bassett <em>et al.</em> (2010)</td>
<td>2 facilities in Durban, South Africa</td>
<td>Rural, semi-rural</td>
<td>2006–2009</td>
<td>General 1477</td>
<td>&lt;200/µl III and IV</td>
<td>Eligible and not yet eligible</td>
<td>Not reachable 6–12 months after enrolment</td>
<td>Phone calls</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geng <em>et al.</em> (2010)</td>
<td>Mbarara, Uganda</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>2009–2010</td>
<td>General 1309</td>
<td>&lt;250/µl</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>No visit, length depending on CD4 cell count</td>
<td>Linkage to death registry</td>
<td>170 (76–318)</td>
<td>53.2†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingle <em>et al.</em> (2010)</td>
<td>28 facilities in Free State Province, South Africa</td>
<td>Rural, semi-rural</td>
<td>2004–2008</td>
<td>General 44 844</td>
<td>&lt;200/µl IV</td>
<td>Eligible and not yet eligible</td>
<td>No return to clinic &gt;30 days after scheduled appointment</td>
<td>Phone calls</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohler <em>et al.</em> (2011)</td>
<td>Nairobi, Kenya</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>2005–2008</td>
<td>General 5854</td>
<td>&lt;250/µl III and IV</td>
<td>Eligible and not yet eligible</td>
<td>No CD4 cell count within 6 months of HIV test, no ART initiation within 6 months of CD4 cell count</td>
<td>Phone calls</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kranzer <em>et al.</em> (2010)</td>
<td>2 facilities in Cape Town, South Africa</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>2004–2009</td>
<td>Random sample 988</td>
<td>&lt;200/µl</td>
<td>Eligible and not yet eligible</td>
<td>No CD4 cell count within 6 months of HIV test, no ART initiation within 6 months of CD4 cell count</td>
<td>Phone calls</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Study Period</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>No patients ART naive</th>
<th>Eligibility criteria: CD4 cell count/WHO stage</th>
<th>Eligibility status of subjects</th>
<th>Definition of loss to follow-up</th>
<th>Tracing of patients lost to follow-up/ascertainment of vital status</th>
<th>First CD4 cell count; median (IQR)</th>
<th>Pre-ART mortality rate (per 100 person-years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Larson et al. (2010b)</td>
<td>Johannesburg, South Africa</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>389 &lt;200/µl</td>
<td>Eligible and not yet eligible</td>
<td>No return ≥52 weeks 6–12 weeks ≤12 weeks</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawn et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Cape Town, South Africa</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>2002–2005</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>1235 &lt;200/µl IV</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>Eligible and not yet eligible</td>
<td>No completed CD4 test ≤6 weeks, 6–12 weeks ≤12 weeks</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawn et al. (2005)§</td>
<td>Cape Town, South Africa</td>
<td>Semirural</td>
<td>2002–2005</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>712 &lt;200/µl IV</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>Eligible and not yet eligible</td>
<td>No CD4 cell count ≤13 months of HIV test</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lessells et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Kwa-Zulu-Natal, South Africa</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>2007–2009</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>4223&lt;sup&gt;§&lt;/sup&gt; &lt;200/µl IV</td>
<td>Not yet eligible</td>
<td>Eligible and not yet eligible</td>
<td>No linkage to African Demographic Info System</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losina et al. (2010)§</td>
<td>Durban, South Africa</td>
<td>Rural, semi-rural</td>
<td>2006–2007</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>454 &lt;200/µl</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>Eligible and not yet eligible</td>
<td>No CD4 cell count ≤8 weeks of HIV test</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loubiere et al. (2009)</td>
<td>27 facilities in Cameroon</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>2006–2007</td>
<td>Random sample</td>
<td>180 &lt;200/µl IV</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>Eligible and not yet eligible</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Study Period</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>No patients ART naive</td>
<td>Eligibility criteria: CD4 cell count / WHO stage</td>
<td>Eligibility status of subjects</td>
<td>Definition of loss to follow-up</td>
<td>Tracing of patients lost to follow-up/ ascertainment of vital status</td>
<td>First CD4 cell count; median (IQR)</td>
<td>Pre-ART mortality rate (per 100 person-years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Durban, South Africa</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>2006–2007</td>
<td>Patients presenting with opportunistic infection (OI)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>&lt;200/µl IV</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Cape Town, South Africa</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>TB-infected HIV+</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>&lt;200 µl IV without extrapulmonary TB</td>
<td>Eligible and not yet eligible</td>
<td>Not traceable after 6 months of starting TB treatment</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott et al. (2011)</td>
<td>133 facilities in Cape Town, South Africa</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>Eligible and not yet eligible</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van der Borght et al. (2009)</td>
<td>16 facilities in Nigeria, Burundi, Rwanda, Democratic Republic of Congo, Congo</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>2001–2007</td>
<td>Heineken employees and families</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>&lt;300/µl IV CDC stage C</td>
<td>Eligible and not yet eligible</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>274 (139–435)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-ART loss to programme, loss to follow-up, mortality and transfer out.

Figure 3 shows forest plots of overall loss to programme, loss to follow-up and mortality by ART eligibility. Among eligible patients, 24.6% (95% CI 18.8–30.3) were lost to programme (Figure 3A), whereas among ineligible patients 54.2% (95% CI 42.8–72.0) were lost to programme (Figure 3B). Mortality before treatment initiation in eligible patients was 10.8% (95% CI 4.6–17.0; Figure 3C), with rates ranging from 1.6 to 53.2 per 100 person-years (Table 1). In three studies reporting on patients not yet eligible for ART, 4.8% (95% CI 0–13.0) died (Figure 3D). For loss to follow-up, the corresponding numbers were 13.2% (95% CI 9.3–17.1, Figure 3E) in eligible patients and 57.3% (95% CI 34.3–80.2, Figure 3F) in ineligible patients. Data on transfers out were reported in only few studies: overall, 5.5% (95% CI 0–13.3%) of patients were transferred before ART initiation (two studies).

Predictors of mortality, loss to programme, CD4 cell count determination and ART initiation

These results are summarised in Tables S1 and S2. Briefly, men were more likely to be lost to programme and less likely to start ART than women. The same association was described for patients with a lower socio-economic status and lower CD4 count, and for later time periods. Conversely, older age and less advanced clinical stage were associated with start of ART. Only a few studies analysed predictors of loss to programme showed higher rates of loss in men, in patients with low CD4 cell counts, in patients of low socio-economic status and in recent time periods.

Many patients with a positive HIV test were lost before eligibility for ART was determined. This is illustrated by Micek et al. (2009), most of whose patients had to be referred to the ART clinic for CD4 measurements: immune
status was assessed in fewer than half of the patients. Two recently published studies suggested that point-of-care CD4 tests could improve the linkage to care. Faal et al. found that providing the CD4 results at the time of HIV testing increased ART initiation rates (Faal et al. 2011), and a recent study from Mozambique showed that after the introduction of a point-of-care CD4 test, the proportion of patients lost to follow-up dropped from 57% to 21%

**Figure 1** Routes from HIV testing to start of antiretroviral therapy (ART). Shaded boxes show the different pre-ART care points, and shaded circles show the number of studies included in the systematic review (number of studies included in the meta-analysis in parentheses) at each of these stages. The three areas (number 1–3) represent the different stages in the cascade, which are described in more detail in the text (i.e. stage 1: from HIV diagnosis to CD4 testing; stage 2: eligibility assessment; stage 3: from eligibility to start of ART).

*aCompleted: the patient was informed about the CD4 test result, or the CD4 test was carried out within a certain time period after the HIV test; *LTP, loss to programme

**Figure 2** Percentage of HIV positive patients completing different stages between testing positive for HIV infection and start of antiretroviral therapy (ART). Results from meta-analysis of six studies covering the period from HIV testing to start of ART. The six studies include 58 746 patients (Micek et al. 2009; Bassett et al. 2010; Ingle et al. 2010; Kranzer et al. 2010; Tayler-Smith et al. 2010; Kohler et al. 2011).
In some studies, CD4 counts were measured more than 2 months after the HIV test, and in only one site, CD4 testing was carried out on the same day as the HIV test (Larson et al. 2010a). Unfortunately, the latter study did not evaluate pre-ART loss to programme, and it is unclear whether this approach led to a higher proportion of patients starting ART. The design of some studies may explain why the proportion of patients with a CD4 cell count differed (Larson et al. 2010b; Kohler et al. 2011; Pepper et al. 2011). Larson et al. distinguished between measured and completed CD4 cell count testing: 84.6% of the HIV positive patients had a CD4 cell count measured but only 53.1% of the eligible, and 45.7% of the not yet eligible

Figure 3 Meta-analysis of loss to programme, mortality and loss to follow-up during the pre-antiretroviral therapy (ART) phase, according to whether patients were or were not eligible for ART. Panel a/b: percentage of ART eligible/ineligible patients becoming loss to programme (LTP) before ART start. LTP includes mortality, loss to follow-up, transfer out and alive but not in programme. Panel c/d: percentage of ART eligible/ineligible patients dying before ART start. Panel e/f: percentage of ART eligible/ineligible patients becoming lost to follow-up before ART start.
patients picked up the results. Pepper et al. included only HIV positive patients on TB treatment; in this integrated TB/HIV clinic, the majority of patients had a pre-ART CD4 test carried out as part of the routine follow-up to determine eligibility for ART. In Kohler et al.’s study in (2011), the introduction of free ceftriumoxazole (CTX) prophylaxis improved pre-ART retention, and CTX provision may therefore be associated with a larger proportion of patients with a CD4 cell count. Different study locations, patient management strategies and local guidelines are other possible sources of heterogeneity. Predictors of receiving a CD4 cell count were also investigated in a recent study by a mobile HIV testing unit in South Africa. Low CD4 cell count, female sex and the availability of a phone correlated with receipt of test results. Patients with the lowest CD4 cell counts were most likely to be linked to facility-based HIV care (Govindasamy et al. 2011).

It is worrisome that few patients started ART despite low CD4 cell counts at presentation. Although ART eligibility criteria varied between studies, the majority used a CD4 threshold of 200 cells/μl. With the new threshold of 350 cells/μl or a universal ‘test and treat’ approach, the number of ART eligible patients will increase substantially. It is unclear what the significance of our results for a ‘test and treat’ strategy is. Although all HIV patients would qualify for ART, the high loss to follow-up rate among ineligible patients may also demonstrate how difficult it is to retain asymptomatic patients in care. Only few studies evaluated the factors associated with loss to follow-up and, interestingly, these factors were previously described as predictors of LTFU in patients on ART (Makombe et al. 2007; Maskew et al. 2007; Ekouevi et al. 2010; Boyles et al. 2011). The high LTFU rate in younger men may have psychosocial and structural origins (Cornell et al. 2009), while the increase over time may be due to an overburdened health system as the number of patients continues to increase.

This systematic review has several limitations. First, in all meta-analyses, between-study heterogeneity was substantial. Second, differing definitions of loss to follow-up and ART eligibility criteria made the comparison of the studies difficult. Patients lost to follow-up may re-enter the health system later, when they are much sicker. Also, many studies did not describe the clinical and immunological criteria for ART eligibility in detail. Third, the number of included studies and countries was limited, and only few studies covered the whole pre-ART period from HIV diagnosis to ART initiation; generalisability may therefore also be limited. Nor could we determine the point at which LTFU and mortality rates were highest. Fourth, only a few studies reported on the proportion of patients transferred out. In other studies, these patients may have been assumed lost to follow-up, thus underestimating linkage to care. Fifth and finally, the reasons why patients are lost to care are poorly reported, and tracing of these patients is rarely described. Mortality is generally expected to be underestimated because patients lost to follow-up are at higher risk of death (Brinkhof et al. 2009).

Our findings illustrate the urgent need to find ways to improve linkage between HIV testing and care in low-income countries. Possible interventions to maximise retention in care include (i) making point-of-care CD4 cell counts available at HIV testing facilities to minimise losses between HIV diagnosis, CD4 count and pick-up of the result, (ii) reduce the number of required visits before ART initiation and thus the financial burden on patients, (iii) keep the time period between ART eligibility and initiation as short as possible without undermining ART counselling, (iv) motivate ineligible patients to return in regular intervals, and (v) introduce health information systems to better monitor the pre-ART period and patient movement and trace patients not returning to reassess treatment eligibility.

In conclusion, this systematic review shows that linkage from HIV diagnosis to HIV care is poor in resource-limited settings. To achieve satisfying ART coverage, monitoring of pre-ART patients needs to be improved, and strategies to increase retention in care need to be implemented.
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